

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/386,813	02/08/95	HOGLUND	В	361427-2000

MARILYN MATTHES BROGAN CURTIS MORRIS & SAFFORD 530 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10036

IM71/0721

**EXAMINER** NOLD, C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 07/21/98

1772

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Office Action Summary

Application No. **08/386,813** 

Applicant(s)

Hoglund

Examiner

Charles R. Nold

Group Art Unit 1772



🗴 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jul 6, 1998</u>					
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	on as to the merits is closed				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 month(s), longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for re application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained und 37 CFR 1.136(a).	sponse will cause the				
Disposition of Claim					
	is/are pending in the applicat				
Of the above, claim(s) is	/are withdrawn from consideration				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
☐ Claim(s)					
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement					
Application Papers	·				
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐	disapproved				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).					
☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been					
☐ received.					
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)					
☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*Certified copies not received:					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)					
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)					
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948					
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES					

Serial Number: 08/386,813 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on 7-6-98 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/386,813 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. Claims 14-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is set forth in paper no. 13, paragraph no. 3.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 13-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Subject matter critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is set forth in paper no. 13, paragraph no. 4. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

Serial Number: 08/386,813 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 13-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Underwood alone or alternatively in view of Smith as set forth in paper no. 13, paragaph no. 7.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles R. Nold whose telephone number is (703) 308-4416.

CHARLES NOLD PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300 (77 2

cr Mdd